IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Criminal Action

VS.

No. 12-107

EARL D. WARNER,

Defendant.

Transcript of proceedings on January 24, 2014, United States District Court, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, before Arthur J. Schwab, District Judge

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: Carolyn J. Bloch, Esq.

For the Defendant: David B. Chontos, Esq.

Court Reporter: Richard T. Ford, RMR, CRR

6260 U.S. Courthouse Pittsburgh, PA 15219

(412) 261-0802

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription

```
1
             (Proceedings held in open court; January 24, 2014.)
 2
             (Jury not present.)
 3
             (Defendant not present.)
 4
                THE COURT: Good morning.
                We are gathered together for Criminal No. 12-0107.
 5
 6
     I would ask counsel for the Government to enter your
 7
     appearance, please.
 8
                MS. BLOCH: On behalf of the United States, Carolyn
 9
    Bloch.
10
                THE COURT: Welcome. On behalf of the Defendant?
11
                MR. CHONTOS: Your Honor, David Chontos on behalf
12
     of Mr. Warner. I would note Mr. Warner is not present and
13
     that's okay with me.
14
                THE COURT: Because we are just talking about the
15
     final jury instructions, right?
16
                MR. CHONTOS: Absolutely.
17
                THE COURT: Any objections, comments, or
18
     corrections on the final jury instructions filed at Document
19
    No. 135 on behalf of the Government?
20
                MS. BLOCH: None on behalf of the Government,
21
     Your Honor.
                THE COURT: On behalf of the Defendant?
22
23
                MR. CHONTOS: Yes, Judge. I think it might be --
24
     at certain points -- I am going to walk through document 135
25
     and at certain points I have suggested some language, and I
```

1	think rather than me reading it I am going to show and read
2	it.
3	THE COURT: Okay. You may begin.
4	MR. CHONTOS: Thank you.
5	Judge, at Page 5, the fourth line down, it begins a
6	sentence: After you have reached a verdict, you are not
7	required to talk.
8	THE COURT: Correct.
9	MR. CHONTOS: How I believe that sentence should
10	read is: After you have reached a verdict, you not required
11	to talk, but you may talk if you so choose.
12	THE COURT: Where do you get that change? Document
13	135 is coming out of suggested jury instructions from the
14	Third Circuit model instructions. So did we misquote that
15	instruction?
16	MR. CHONTOS: Well, the
17	THE COURT: My question is, did we misquote the
18	instruction?
19	MR. CHONTOS: I don't believe so.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So you are asking for an
21	addition?
22	MR. CHONTOS: Well, what I believe is somewhat
23	offensive language is the bracketed material, what I have
24	bracketed, "with anyone about the case unless I order you to
25	do so." Judge, after they have reached their verdict, they

1	have discharged their function in our system, so I am not so
2	sure you have the power anymore to tell them to talk or not
3	talk.
4	THE COURT: Well, they will not be discharged from
5	my system, as you put it, for over a year because they have a
6	right to counseling for over a year after they render a
7	verdict.
8	MR. CHONTOS: That's news to me.
9	THE COURT: Well, it's provided for, they get
LO	counseling sessions if they want to, and so they remain within
L1	my jurisdiction for a year.
L2	MR. CHONTOS: Okay.
L3	THE COURT: What is your next one?
L4	MR. CHONTOS: Well, I am still on that
L5	THE COURT: So I overrule that objection.
L6	Next one, please.
L7	MR. CHONTOS: Judge, at the top of Page 6, in
L8	parenthesis No. 3: Any fact or testimony that was stipulated
L9	There's been no stipulations, so I don't think it
20	is appropriate to interject something that is really not part
21	of the case.
22	THE COURT: Agreed?
23	MS. BLOCH: Agree.
24	THE COURT: So we will eliminate Item No. 3 at the
25	top of Page 6.

1 Next, please. 2 MR. CHONTOS: Top of Page 7, first full sentence 3 that begins: When I allowed evidence. I don't have a 4 recollection of any piece of evidence coming in where you 5 limited it for purpose A or purpose B and things of that 6 nature. 7 THE COURT: Any objection to deleting that 8 sentence? 9 MS. BLOCH: No objection. 10 THE COURT: The sentence -- the first full sentence 11 at the top of Page 7 will be deleted. 12 MR. CHONTOS: Continue, Judge? 13 THE COURT: Sure. 14 MR. CHONTOS: Page 8, exhibits. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. CHONTOS: Here's how I think that should read: 17 The exhibits met the requirements of the Rules of Evidence 18 and, therefore, have been admitted for your consideration. 19 Any inference or conclusion you draw from any exhibit is 20 within your power as a fact-finder. 21 What I find problematic with that is the defense 22 and the Government agreed to the exhibits. That isn't 23 necessarily so. Because we have objections, you overruled them, and, bang, they go in. So, again, what I think that 24 25 sentence should read is: The exhibits meet the requirements

1	of the Rules of Evidence and, therefore, have been admitted
2	for your consideration. Any inference or conclusion you draw
3	from any exhibit is within your power as the fact-finder.
4	THE COURT: How is that different than what we say
5	where "this does not mean the parties agree as to the
6	inferences or conclusions that you should or may draw from any
7	exhibit?"
8	MR. CHONTOS: The phrase in that last sentence,
9	"parties agree," references back to the first sentence,
10	"counsel for the Government and Defendant have agreed."
11	THE COURT: It says they don't agree. "This does
12	not mean the parties agree."
13	MR. CHONTOS: Well, clearly the first sentence says
14	that they have. I don't think that's accurate. We raised
15	objections, they were overruled.
16	THE COURT: What change, if any, do you want for
17	sentence one, please?
18	MR. CHONTOS: Sentence one should read: The
19	exhibits meet the requirements of the Rules of Evidence and,
20	therefore, have been admitted for your consideration.
21	THE COURT: You want the first sentence deleted, is
22	that what you're now saying?
23	MR. CHONTOS: And the first five words of sentence
24	No. 2.
25	THE COURT: Any objection to deleting the first

1	sentence?
2	MS. BLOCH: No objection.
3	THE COURT: Now, the second sentence, how do you
4	want the second sentence to read?
5	MR. CHONTOS: Well, Judge, it's really I have
6	combined sentence one and two into one sentence.
7	THE COURT: This is not very helpful to the Court.
8	I have a jury that wants to come out here in 12 minutes. You
9	need to articulate to me, please, clearly what you want
10	deleted, what you want added. But just general comments don't
11	help me. So what do you want done to the second sentence,
12	please?
13	MR. CHONTOS: I want the first five words
14	eliminated.
15	THE COURT: So the sentence will start, "meet the
16	requirements of the Rules of Evidence."
17	MR. CHONTOS: No. That phrase, "meet the
18	requirements," gets combined with the single phrase, "the
19	exhibits."
20	THE COURT: You want the words, "the exhibits then
21	meet?"
22	MR. CHONTOS: Judge, my first sentence in that
23	would read as follows: The exhibits meet the requirements of
24	the Rules of Evidence and, therefore, have been admitted for
25	your consideration.

1	THE COURT: So you are asking that "this means that
2	these exhibits" gets deleted and in its place you want the
3	words, "the exhibits?"
4	MR. CHONTOS: Judge, could I show you? I think
5	that might help.
6	THE COURT: Again, you are confusing me. How about
7	this: The exhibits meet the requirements of the Rules of
8	Evidence and, therefore, have been admitted for your
9	consideration.
10	MR. CHONTOS: Judge, that's what I have been
11	saying.
12	Then I believe the second sentence in my proposal
13	would then just say: Any inference or conclusion you draw
14	from any exhibit is within your power as the fact-finder.
15	THE COURT: Any objection to those changes?
16	MS. BLOCH: Say it one more time, please.
17	MR. CHONTOS: Sure. Any inference or conclusion
18	that you draw from any exhibit is within your power as the
19	fact-finder.
20	MS. BLOCH: No objection.
21	THE COURT: Let me read the whole thing to you in a
22	moment. The paragraph beginning with "exhibits" at the top of
23	Page 8 will read: The exhibits meet the requirements of the
24	Rules of Evidence and, therefore, have been admitted for your
25	consideration. Any inference or conclusion that you draw from

```
1
     any exhibit is within your power as a fact-finder.
 2
                Is that correct?
 3
                MR. CHONTOS: That's acceptable.
 4
                THE COURT: Agreed?
 5
                MS. BLOCH: That's acceptable.
 6
                THE COURT: Next page, please.
 7
                MR. CHONTOS: Page 13, the very last line.
     the first reference to the phrase, "impeached." I just don't
 8
 9
     think that it's appropriate. Otherwise, if we keep it, we
10
    need to inform the jury what does impeach mean.
11
                THE COURT: Again, it would be helpful to me if you
12
     would suggest exactly what you want either deleted or added,
13
     then we will know specifically what you have in mind, please.
14
                MR. CHONTOS: Judge, I gave my reasons and now I
15
     was going to transition to what my suggestion is. For that
16
     sentence I would just say: You are not required to accept
17
     testimony even if the testimony was not contradicted, period.
18
                THE COURT: So you would like to delete the words
     "and the witness was not impeached."
19
20
                MR. CHONTOS: Correct.
21
                THE COURT: Any objection on behalf of the
     Government?
22
23
                MS. BLOCH: No objection.
24
                THE COURT:
                            Thank you.
25
                MR. CHONTOS: Judge, please, 14.
```

1	THE COURT: Please.
2	MR. CHONTOS: The first indented paragraph begins:
3	In deciding what to believe.
4	We had child witnesses testify. There is no
5	separate instruction on child witnesses. So I think after
6	reviewing the Third Circuit's suggestion just include this
7	phrase, separated by commas, "in deciding what to believe,
8	comma, including the child witnesses who testified, comma,"
9	then leave it alone.
LO	THE COURT: Any objection?
11	MS. BLOCH: Yes, I do object to that. I think that
L2	there's no reason to be drawing any particular attention to
L3	the children versus other witnesses at this stage.
L 4	MR. CHONTOS: Well
15	MS. BLOCH: What is your basis for drawing
L6	attention to that?
L7	MR. CHONTOS: Well, one, it's part of the Third
L8	Circuit standard instructions.
L9	MS. BLOCH: About children?
20	MR. CHONTOS: That's my source, yes.
21	THE COURT: Well, I mean, where is that in the
22	proposed instructions that you previously filed? We all knew
23	children were probably going to testify, so, I mean, was that
24	in one of your earlier drafts to me?
25	MR. CHONTOS: No. But. Judge

1 THE COURT: You are saying there is Third Circuit 2 language as to children witnesses? 3 MR. CHONTOS: Sure, absolutely. If you go to 4 Chapter 4, final instructions, consideration of particular 5 kinds of evidence, 4.17 is labeled child witness. I have used 6 it in the sense that, I mean, you could, just like law 7 enforcement is a witness, that is a whole separate 8 instruction. 9 But what I have done, based on the comments to 10 that, and there is some case law that is suggested, including 11 my prepositional phrase, would suffice. So I think I have 12 appeased the Government not having a separate instruction like 13 the law enforcement separate instruction with the child 14 witness, just within. 15 MS. BLOCH: Your Honor, does Mr. Chontos have a 16 copy of this proposed model jury instruction? 17 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, that's about 150 pages. 18 THE COURT: Well, that's why we have people meet 19 weeks ahead of time, come up with an agreement or 20 disagreements, then I rule ahead of time instead of, you know, 21 at the last minute when we have a jury sitting back there, 22 which is not courteous to our fellow citizens. 23 So you are suggesting to add the words, "including 24 any child witness," in the first sentence where it says, "in 25 deciding what to believe, including any child witness, you may

consider." 1 MR. CHONTOS: Any child witness who testified. 2 3 THE COURT: So there is child witnesses -- okay. 4 It seems duplicative, but --5 I would agree with the Court's reading MS. BLOCH: not "who testified," because that's readily apparent that 6 7 that's what he is speaking about. You have already 8 indicated --9 THE COURT: I will add the words, "including any 10 child witness, " since I believe "who testified" is 11 duplicative, so I will not add that. 12 Next, please. 13 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, Page 16, not required to 14 accept uncontradicted testimony. Again, it's consistent with 15 my position earlier, the end of the first sentence, I would 16 propose this being eliminated --17 THE COURT: You are suggesting that on Page 16 18 under the section called not required to accept uncontradicted 19 testimony, that in the first sentence we delete the words "and 20 the witness is not impeached?" 21 MR. CHONTOS: Correct. 22 THE COURT: Any objection? 23 MS. BLOCH: No objection. 24 THE COURT: Next, please. 25 MR. CHONTOS: Same page, Judge, number of witnesses

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
not important. In the first sentence there's the word
"necessarily." I believe that should be eliminated because it
implies that with the right number of witnesses that might
push the weight meter toward one party's view of the evidence
more than the other.
           THE COURT: I overrule that objection.
           MR. CHONTOS: Judge, Page 17, not all evidence, not
all witnesses needed. Second paragraph that begins, "in this
case."
           THE COURT:
                      Yes.
           MR. CHONTOS: My proposed read of the first
sentence would be: In this case the Defendant, Earl Warner,
presented evidence through various witnesses.
           THE COURT: Any objection?
           MS. BLOCH: No objection.
           THE COURT: It will be admitted without
objection -- or changed without objection.
           Next, please.
           MR. CHONTOS: Judge, let's stay there.
beginning of that very next sentence, in its present
incarnation it says "Mr. Warner." I believe it should begin,
"just like the Government, comma, Mr. Warner," and keep the
present language.
           THE COURT: Any objection?
           MS. BLOCH: Yes, I do object. I think that the
```

instructions as presently written already impose sufficient --1 present sufficient information and impose a sufficient burden 2 3 on the Government. 4 THE COURT: I will overrule the suggestion from 5 defense counsel. I think it's clear up above what -- the 6 paragraph before that speaks about the Government. The next 7 paragraph speaks about the Defendant. So it's all covered at 8 one time and I don't think that phrase is necessary or 9 helpful. 10 Next, please. 11 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, credibility of witnesses, 12 This is the separate law enforcement charge. Again, 13 it has that phrase or that word, "necessarily." Same 14 objection. 15 THE COURT: Overruled. 16 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, Page 20. 17 THE COURT: Please. 18 MR. CHONTOS: At the end of the first full 19 paragraph, that paragraph begins, "the presumption of 20 innocence." Here's my suggested language addition. It really 21 comes right after the phrase, "throughout the trial," and you 22 have a period. I would include a comma so it reads, "the 23 Government throughout the trial, including right now, period." Even though the evidence has been presented and closing 24

arguments have been held, he is still presumed innocent. That

```
1
    presumption stays with him unless and until you find him
 2
     guilty.
 3
                MS. BLOCH: I am sorry, I am not in the right
 4
     paragraph. I don't know where you are. So you are just
 5
     adding --
 6
                THE COURT: I overrule that objection.
 7
                Next, please. I am going to ask the deputy clerk,
 8
     would you go back to the jury and apologize to them and tell
 9
     them we will get to them as soon as we can, please.
10
     you.
11
                MR. CHONTOS: Judge, Page 23 at the top, this is
12
     the venue charge.
13
                THE COURT: Please.
14
                MR. CHONTOS: That first line up there, it is
15
     really just, I suggest, an insertion of the word "venue" right
16
     after the phrase, "this fact, venue, only has to be proved by
17
     a preponderance of the evidence."
18
                THE COURT: What about just adding, in place of
19
     "this fact," instead of "this fact," we just put, "venue
20
     only."
21
                MR. CHONTOS: Great.
22
                THE COURT: Any objection?
23
                MS. BLOCH: That's fine. No objection.
24
                THE COURT: So we are replacing "this fact," first
25
     line, Page 23, with the word "venue."
```

1 Next, please. 2 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, Page 24. This is repetitive 3 of what we chatted about at document 91, the jointly proposed 4 jury charge. At the very bottom, single Defendant charged 5 with multiple offenses, the last paragraph, it reads: 6 decision on one offense, whether guilty or not guilty, should 7 not. That's discretionary language. It gives them wiggle 8 room. My position is that should read, "must not influence 9 your decision." 10 THE COURT: Any objection? 11 MS. BLOCH: No objection. 12 THE COURT: The change will be made without 13 objection. The word "should" will be substituted with the 14 word "must." 15 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, in the very next sentence, 16 "each offense should be considered separately," same, my 17 recommendation is that "must" should substitute for that word 18 "should." 19 THE COURT: Any objection? 20 MS. BLOCH: No objection. 21 THE COURT: So at the two sentences at the bottom 22 of Page 24 of Document No. 135, the word "should" in two 23 places will be substituted with the word "must." 24 MR. CHONTOS: Thank you. Judge, Page 30. 25 THE COURT: Please.

1 MR. CHONTOS: And we flushed this out earlier, so I 2 would rehash my earlier objection. "The Government is not 3 required to prove that Earl Warner knew his acts were against 4 I don't have anything new, I just incorporate and 5 rest on my earlier objection to that sentence. 6 THE COURT: And I overrule that objection. 7 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, the very next part on that 8 same Page 30, sexually explicit conduct. 9 THE COURT: Please. 10 MR. CHONTOS: We did touch upon it earlier. We did 11 shrink that definition. Now that the evidence is all in, I 12 still don't believe that actual or simulated masturbation was 13 part of this case. So my suggestion would be to eliminate 14 that and just leave it as "lascivious exhibition of the 15 genitals or pubic area of any person." 16 MS. BLOCH: The Government objects as it did I think that the term "masturbation" includes the 17 18 images where he is touching the girls. 19 THE COURT: I overrule the defense objection as I 20 did previously, but we did, as I said, as defense counsel 21 accurately says, shrink down the items of conduct included 22 within that definition. 23 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, Page 31, the next to the last 24 full paragraph that begins, "as to this last factor." 25 THE COURT: Please.

1 MR. CHONTOS: It is the second sentence that reads: 2 I instruct that you may consider whether the visual depictions 3 would appeal to persons who are sexually attracted to persons 4 of similar age to the person depicted. 5 Judge, what we are asking this jury -- it should be 6 eliminated because what we are asking this jury to do is look 7 at this photo and to see if it would be a turn-on to those 8 people who are sexually attracted to it. It requires them to 9 have a knowledge base of what people who are sexually 10 attracted to these photos, whether this one will satisfy that 11 dataset, that collective wisdom. 12 THE COURT: Any objection to deleting the sentence 13 at the bottom of Page 31 which begins, "I instruct that?" 14 MS. BLOCH: I actually think Mr. Chontos is reading 15 it incorrectly. I think what the particular sentence is, 16 whether the Court intends to include it or not, it is actually 17 saying: Would this appeal to someone who was of the same age 18 as the person depicted. It is not actually addressing --19 THE COURT: Any objection to deleting the sentence? 20 MS. BLOCH: No objection. 21 THE COURT: The sentence in the next to last 22 paragraph on Page 31 which begins with the words "I instruct" 23 will be deleted. 24 Yes, sir. 25 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, Page 33, interstate/foreign

The last sentence there, "the law does not require 1 2 that," we hashed that out earlier. I would just renew my 3 objections. The Government's push-back is Sheldon, the Ninth 4 Circuit case. I understand that. It is supportive of their 5 request. We think Sheldon is, you know, wrongly decided, so 6 we object to that sentence. 7 THE COURT: I overrule your objection to the last 8 sentence on Page 33 of document 135. 9 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, I really have just two more. 10 One is, I mean, 404(b) evidence came in, but we don't have a 11 charge as to how they should compartmentalize that. Then, 12 secondarily, we don't have a charge on character. I have 13 proposed language on character. 404(b) we could do as the 14 standard charge. 15 THE COURT: The standard charge from the Third 16 Circuit, correct? 17 MR. CHONTOS: Absolutely. Judge, I also think that 18 there should be some reference from you as to, in their 19 exhibit binder, what is that 404(b). 20 THE COURT: I don't understand that. 21 MS. BLOCH: Before we go further, Your Honor, if I 22 may please object. First of all, we don't have 404(b) 23 evidence here because the Court made a pretrial ruling that 24 the evidence of images that were not within the confines of

any particular count were deemed to be intrinsic evidence and

1 not 404(b). So we would not have an instruction on 404(b) in 2 this case. 3 THE COURT: I will rule that I will not give a 4 charge for Defendant's uncharged bad acts or crimes pursuant 5 to Federal Rule of Evidence 404(b). 6 Your second point, please. 7 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, we had two character 8 witnesses. Here is my proposed jury charge. 9 THE COURT: Is there a Third Circuit one that's 10 applicable? 11 MR. CHONTOS: There is. Here's, just being honest 12 with the Court --13 THE COURT: Do you have it written out or typed 14 out? 15 MR. CHONTOS: Handwritten, but my printing is very 16 good. 17 THE COURT: Do you want to read it, please. 18 MR. CHONTOS: Sure. "You heard evidence from the 19 defense that Earl Warner is a person of good character. 20 speaking of the defense witnesses who expressed their opinion 21 that Earl Warner was truthful and honest. The law recognizes 22 that a person of good character is not likely to commit a 23 crime that is contrary to that person's nature. Evidence of 24 good character made by itself raises a reasonable doubt of 25 guilt. You should consider this evidence together with and in

1	the same way as all the other evidence in this case in
2	deciding whether the Government has proved the charges beyond
3	a reasonable doubt."
4	THE COURT: Did you have a suggested charge back in
5	I believe document 91 dealing with character?
6	MR. CHONTOS: Did not.
7	THE COURT: Do you have the Third Circuit language?
8	MR. CHONTOS: Judge, I am looking at my little
9	cheat sheet to help find it. Yeah, it's at 439. Chapter 4,
10	consideration of particular kinds of evidence, 439.
11	THE COURT: I am going to print that out. I think
12	your language is certainly unbalanced. I don't mean you are
13	unbalanced, I just mean that
14	MS. BLOCH: It sounds like a closing argument to
15	the Government.
16	THE COURT: Yes, it's much more like a closing
17	argument. Let me get the Third Circuit language up, please.
18	Third Circuit says: The Defendant's character evidence you
19	have heard, evidence about whether the Defendant has a
20	character trait for then it suggests truthfulness,
21	peacefulness, honesty, law-abiding. Which character trait did
22	you believe that evidence related to?
23	MR. CHONTOS: Truthful and honest.
24	THE COURT: Truthful and what?
25	MR. CHONTOS: Truthfulness and honesty.

1 MS. BLOCH: I would disagree, Your Honor. I think 2 at least in part the second character witness that was called 3 to testify was more in the like of testimony of being a good 4 person, being helpful and assisting. It didn't go to his 5 truth or veracity. 6 The first witness, I am trying to remember exactly 7 what she said, but -- does the Court recall what she spoke to? 8 Did she say her -- I apologize. 9 THE COURT: We will add that paragraph. Where did 10 you want that added, sir, please? 11 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, wherever the Court deems it to 12 be appropriate. That would be fine. 13 THE COURT: So the language is: "You have heard evidence about whether the Defendant has a character trait for 14 15 helpfulness, truthfulness, and/or honesty. You should 16 consider this character evidence together with and in the same way as all the other evidence in the case in deciding whether 17 18 the Government has proved the charges beyond a reasonable 19 doubt." 20 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, while I am appreciative of the 21 Court reading that, what the Court has not given me is the 22 following language: "Evidence of good character may by itself 23 raise a reasonable doubt of quilt." The comments to the Third

Circuit charge, Judge, address that and there is some

differing opinion right within our circuit on that.

24

1 THE COURT: I read that in the comment and I will 2 not include that in the charge. 3 All right. Any others, sir? 4 MR. CHONTOS: No, Judge, I am done. I really just 5 have a question. Now that we have gone through our jury 6 instruction, am I going to have to, before the jury leaves 7 when you are all done with the charge, just approach at 8 sidebar and set forth, hey, all my objections are remade? 9 THE COURT: You don't need to do that for me. 10 you -- I think the objections are clear. If you feel you need 11 to do that for the record, then you should do whatever you 12 need to do. But you certainly don't need to do that for me. 13 I have done everything I can to make sure that there's a good 14 record, hopefully, of what your requests were and my rulings 15 thereon. 16 MR. CHONTOS: What I will do then, Judge, while 17 they are there, I will just stay at the table and say, Judge, 18 I am going to renew the earlier objections that I made 19 regarding the jury charge. 20 THE COURT: Fine. 21 MR. CHONTOS: Thank you. 22 MS. BLOCH: Your Honor, if I may, just for a 23 One thing that I am searching for now that eluded me 24 when I reviewed this was the cautionary instruction that we 25 went through great discussion, and Mr. Warner himself

1	articulated that he did want as part of the final instruction
2	the Judge's proposed
3	THE COURT: That's Roman numeral 3 of the
4	cautionary instruction.
5	MS. BLOCH: I am sorry.
6	MR. CHONTOS: It is in there.
7	MS. BLOCH: I kept going back through to make sure
8	I found it because I thought it was and when I couldn't find
9	it, I didn't want to
10	THE COURT: It's Roman numeral 3 in our Document
11	No. 91.
12	MS. BLOCH: I didn't see it embodied in this
13	particular document, so I was concerned.
14	THE COURT: We need to make obviously these
15	corrections and reprint the 14 sets of jury instructions.
16	MR. CHONTOS: Judge, while that's going on, could
17	Mr. Warner be brought up just to shrink up that time?
18	THE COURT: Not yet because I have got to review
19	these changes first.
20	On Page 11, you wanted the paragraph about evidence
21	admitted for a limited purpose eliminated?
22	MR. CHONTOS: Judge, your Page 11 I don't think is
23	squaring up with mine. How about the title?
24	THE COURT: Evidence admitted for a limited
25	purpose.

MS. BLOCH: It is on Page 11. 1 2 THE COURT: Did you want that eliminated? 3 MR. CHONTOS: Correct, because it just wasn't part 4 of the case. 5 I think we have all of your changes. THE COURT: 6 If when we start reading through if I am incorrect about 7 something, don't hesitate to interrupt me, that's certainly 8 proper. 9 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, the Page 11, evidence admitted 10 for a limited purpose, I really raise that on Page 7, so is it 11 eliminated on the top of Page 7? There was like one sentence. 12 What I am looking at is, "or exhibit like any other, period. 13 When I allowed evidence -- testimony or exhibits -- for a 14 limited purpose only, I instructed you to consider that 15 evidence only for that limited, and you must do that." That 16 is at the top of Page 7. 17 MS. BLOCH: For the record, Your Honor, I believe 18 you in fact had embodied it in the document filed at 131 as 19 well. 20 The final jury instructions are THE COURT: 21 Document No. 139, so we now have to go make the copies. 22 Again, if I misread or do not clearly state one of your 23 corrections or changes that I agreed to, just stop me during 24 the closing and -- during the reading of the final jury 25 instructions and I will make the change.

1 MS. BLOCH: Your Honor, would it be inappropriate, 2 I just have one request with regard to the evidence for 3 purposes of going back into the jury room. 4 THE COURT: Sure. 5 The only thing that I was going to MS. BLOCH: 6 request that the jurors have back with them, in addition to 7 the binder with the images, not the CD images obviously, but I 8 just ask that Exhibit No. 18.1, which is the Steeler dress, 9 and image No. 12.1 through 12.3, which is the camera with the 10 memory card removed --11 THE COURT: Any objection? 12 MS. BLOCH: Wait, one more. And Exhibit No. 25, 13 which is the separate calendar, and it's just that in the 14 binders it's kind of buried inside and I ask -- they have all 15 been admitted. 16 THE COURT: Any objection? 17 MR. CHONTOS: No, Judge. 18 They may go back to the jury room in THE COURT: 19 light of no objection. 20 Thank you. MS. BLOCH: 21 The final jury instructions will be THE COURT: 22 Document No. 140 on the docket -- that's the verdict form. So 23 the final verdict form will be Document No. 140. 24 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, on the verdict form, is there 25 any significant difference between 140 and document 90, which

1 90 is the proposed verdict form? 2 THE COURT: No. 3 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, my issue with 140, the verdict 4 form, is you tell them in your instruction that, as to 5 Counts 1 through 6, they need to be unanimous in which image 6 satisfies that count. That verdict form, as I am looking at 7 it, doesn't give them that ability to identify image 1.12A or 8 something along those lines. 9 THE COURT: I think we have discussed that before. 10 MR. CHONTOS: We have. 11 THE COURT: So I overrule that objection. I don't 12 believe that they need to put that on the verdict form. 13 think it's sufficient that the instructions adequately cover 14 that issue, which it does. 15 We will stand in recess and you can go to the rest 16 rooms or whatever you want to do and I will get the Defendant 17 up here as soon as the copies are made. 18 MS. BLOCH: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Thank you again. 20 (Recess taken.) 21 (After recess; on record in open court.) 22 THE COURT: We are currently in open court for the 23 final jury instructions. I would ask counsel for the 24 Government to enter your appearance, please. 25 MS. BLOCH: On behalf of the United States, Carolyn

Bloch. 1 THE COURT: On behalf of the Defendant? 2 3 MR. CHONTOS: Your Honor, David Chontos on behalf 4 of Mr. Warner. 5 THE COURT: The Defendant is with us, is that 6 correct? 7 MR. CHONTOS: He is seated right to my left. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 Ladies and gentlemen, I apologize for your coming 10 in early and us not getting to you for an hour late, so again 11 my apologies in that regard. 12 The following are the final jury instructions. 13 have a copy of those in front of you. You may listen, read 14 along, or both. 15 Now that you have heard the evidence and the 16 arguments, it is my duty to instruct you on the law. We have 17 given you copies of the special verdict form on which you will 18 answer specific questions. I ask you to take a few moments to 19 read the verdict form because the instructions I will give you 20 will help you answer those questions. So if you would please 21 look at the jury form, and they are the questions that you 22 will have to answer during your deliberation. My instructions 23 are to help guide you in that process. 24 When you retire to the jury room to deliberate you

may take these instructions with you, along with your notes,

the exhibits that the Court has admitted into evidence, and the verdict form.

You should select one of your number, one member of the jury as your foreperson. That person will preside over your deliberations and speak for you here in open court.

You have two main duties as jurors. The first one is to decide what the facts are from the evidence you saw and heard here in court. Deciding what the facts are is your job, not mine, and nothing I have said or done during this trial is meant to influence your decision about the facts in any way.

Your second duty is to take the law that I give you, apply it to the facts, and decide if, under the appropriate burden of proof, the parties have established their claims. In other words, it is your duty to determine from the evidence what actually happened in this case, applying the law as I now explain it.

It is my job to instruct you about the law and you are bound by the oath that you took at the beginning of the trial to follow the instructions that I give you, even if you personally disagree with them. This includes the instructions that I gave you before and during the trial and these instructions.

All instructions are important and you should consider them together as a whole. Do not disregard or give special attention to any one instruction. Do not question the

wisdom of any rule of law or rule of evidence I state. In other words, do not substitute your notion or opinion as to what the law is or ought to be. Performing these duties fairly -- perform these duties fairly. Do not let any bias, sympathy, or prejudice that you may feel towards one side or the other influence your decision in any way.

As jurors, you have the duty to consult with each other and to deliberate with the intent of reaching a verdict. Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but only after a full and impartial consideration of all the evidence with your fellow jurors.

Listen to each other carefully. In the course of your deliberations you should feel free to reexamine your own views and change your opinion based on the evidence, but you should not give up your honest conviction about the evidence just because of the opinion of your fellow jurors. You should not change your mind just for the purpose of obtaining enough votes for a verdict.

When you start deliberating, do not talk to the jury officers, me, or to anyone else but each other about the case. During your deliberations you must not communicate with, provide any information to anyone by means — by any means about this case. You may not use any electronic device or media such as a cell phone, smartphone, like BlackBerrys, Droids, iPhones, or computer of any kind, the Internet, any

Internet service, or any text or instant messaging service, such as Twitter, or any Internet chat room, blog, website, or social networking service, such as Facebook, Twitter, MySpace, LinkedIn, or YouTube to communicate to anyone any information about the case or to conduct any research about the case until I accept your verdict.

If you have any questions or messages for me, you must write them down on a piece of paper, have the foreman sign them -- foreperson sign them, and give them to the jury officer. The officer will give them to me and I will respond as soon as I can. I may have to talk to the lawyers about what you have asked, so it may take some time to get back to you.

One important thing about messages. Never write down or tell anyone how you stand on your votes. For example, do not write down or tell anyone that a certain number is voting one way or other. Your vote should stay secret until you are finished.

Your verdict must represent the considered judgment of each juror. In order for you as a jury to return a verdict, each juror must agree to the verdict. Your verdict must be unanimous.

A verdict form has been prepared for you. It has a series of questions for you to answer. You will take this form to the jury room. And when you have reached unanimous

agreement as to your verdict, you will fill it in, have the foreperson sign and date the form, and you will see on the form there is also a place for each of you to sign, each juror must sign the form. You will then return to court and the foreperson will give your verdict. Unless I instruct you otherwise, do not reveal your answers until you are discharged.

After you have reached a verdict you are not required to talk to anyone about the case unless I order you to do so.

Once again, I remind you that there's nothing about my instructions and nothing about the form of verdict that is intended to suggest or convey in any way or manner what I think the verdict should be. It is your sole and exclusive duty and responsibility to determine the verdict.

Now we are going to talk about evidence. The evidence in this case consists of the testimony of witnesses, documents and other physical items, if any, received as exhibits, and any facts stipulated by the parties. The evidence from which you are to find the facts consists of the following:

One, the testimony of the witnesses and documents and other things received as exhibits.

The following things are not evidence:

One, the superseding indictment.

Two, statements, arguments, questions, and comments by the lawyers are not evidence.

Three, likewise, objections are not evidence. As I told you in my preliminary instructions, the Rules of Evidence control what can be -- what can be received into evidence.

During the trial the lawyers objected when they thought that the evidence that was offered was not permitted under the Rules of Evidence. These objections simply meant that the lawyers were asking me to decide whether the evidence should be allowed under the rules.

You should not be influenced by the fact that an objection was made. You should also not be influenced by my rulings on the objection or any sidebar conferences you may have overheard.

When I overruled an objection, the question was answered or the exhibit was received into evidence, and you should treat that testimony or exhibit like any other.

When I sustain an objection, the question -- when I sustained an objection, the question was not answered or the exhibit was not received into evidence. You must disregard the question or the exhibit entirely. Do not think about or question what the witness might have said in answer to the question and do not think or guess what the exhibit might have shown.

Sometimes the witness may already have answered

before a lawyer objected or before I ruled on the objection. If that happened and if I sustained the objection, you must disregard the answer that was given.

Four, the testimony that I ordered stricken from the record or told you to disregard is not evidence and you must not consider any such matter.

Five, anything you saw or heard about this case outside the courtroom is not evidence. You must decide the case only on the evidence presented here in the courtroom. Do not let rumor, suspicion, or anything else that you may see or hear outside the court influence your decision in any way. Also, do not assume from anything that I have done or said during the trial that I have any opinion about any of the issues in the case or about what your verdict should be.

The exhibits meet the standards of the Rules of Evidence and, therefore, have been admitted for your consideration. Any inference or conclusion that you draw from any exhibit is within your power as a fact-finder.

You should use your common sense in weighing the evidence. Consider it in the light of your everyday experience with people and events and give it whatever weight you believe it deserves. If your experience and common sense tells you that certain evidence reasonably leads to a conclusion, you may reach that conclusion.

Two types of evidence may be used in a trial:

Direct and circumstantial, or indirect, evidence. You may use both types of evidence in reaching your verdict.

Direct evidence is simply evidence which, if believed, directly proves a fact. An example of direct evidence occurs when a witness testifies about something the witness knows from his or her own senses, something that the witness has seen, touched, heard, or smelled.

Circumstantial evidence is evidence which, if believed, indirectly proves a fact. It is evidence that proves one or more facts from which you could reasonably find or infer the existence of some other fact or facts.

A reasonable inference is simply a deduction or conclusion that reason, experience, and common sense leads you to make from the evidence. A reasonable inference is not a suspicion or a guess. It is a reasoned, logical decision to find that a disputed fact exists based on another fact.

For example, if someone walked into the courtroom wearing a wet raincoat and carrying a wet umbrella, that would be circumstantial, indirect evidence from which you could reasonably find or conclude it was raining. You would not have to find it was raining, but you could.

Sometimes different inferences may be drawn from the same set of facts. The Government may ask you to draw one inference and the defense may ask you to draw another. You and you alone must decide what reasonable inferences you will

draw based upon all the evidence and your reason, experience, and common sense.

You should consider all the evidence that's presented in this trial, direct and circumstantial. The law makes no distinction between the weight that you would give to either direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how much weight to give evidence.

You may not allow sympathy or personal feelings to influence your determination. Your duty is to decide the case solely on the basis of the evidence or lack of evidence and the law as I instruct you without bias, prejudice, or sympathy for or against the parties or their counsel.

Both the parties and the public expect that you will carefully and impartially consider all the evidence in the case, follow the law as stated by the Court, and reach a just verdict regardless of the consequences.

You have been shown certain images that have been admitted into evidence. These images were shown only to assist you in determining whether the Government has met its burden of proof -- its burden to prove the Defendant guilty of all of the elements of the charges against him.

You should not allow any feelings you may have regarding these images to affect this determination in any manner. You should consider these images in the same unbiased, impartial way as you would any other piece of

evidence offered by either side in the case.

Your juror notes are not evidence in the case and you must — they must not take precedence over your own independent recollection of the evidence. Notes are only an aid to your recollection and are not entitled to greater weight than the recollection of what the evidence actually is. You should not disclose any notes you have taken to anyone other than a fellow juror.

You were not obligated to take totes. If you did not take notes, you should not be influenced by the notes of another juror, but instead rely upon your own recollection of the evidence.

I am now going speak about credibility or believability of witnesses and weight of testimony in general. You must consider all the evidence. This does not mean you must accept all the evidence as true or accurate. You are the sole judges of the credibility or believability of the witnesses and the weight their testimony deserves. You may be guided by the appearance and conduct of the witness, by the manner in which the witness testifies, by the character of the testimony given, and by evidence or testimony to the contrary.

You should carefully scrutinize all the testimony given, the circumstances under which each witness has testified, and every matter in evidence which tends to show whether a witness is worthy of belief.

Consider each witness' intelligence, motive, state of mind, and demeanor or manner while on the stand.

Consider the witness' ability to observe the matters to which he or she has testified and whether he or she impresses you as having an accurate recollection of these matters.

Consider any business, personal, or other relationship a witness may have with either side of the case, the manner in which each witness might be affected by the verdict, and the extent to which, if at all, the witness is either supported or contradicted by other evidence in the case.

As I stated in my preliminary instructions at the beginning of the trial, in deciding what the facts are, you must decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You are the sole judges of the credibility or believability of the witnesses.

Credibility refers to whether a witness is worthy of belief. Was the witness truthful? Was the witness' testimony accurate? You may believe everything a witness says or part of it or none of it.

You may decide whether to believe a witness based on his or her behavior and manner of testifying, the explanation the witness gave, and all the other evidence in the case, just as you would in any important matter where you

1	are trying to decide if a person is truthful, straightforward,
2	and accurate in his or her recollection. In deciding the
3	question of credibility, remember to use your common sense,
4	your good judgment, and your experience.
5	You are not required to accept testimony even if
6	the testimony is not contradicted. You may decide that a
7	witness is not worthy of belief because of the witness'
8	bearing and demeanor or because of the inherent improbability
9	of the testimony, or for any other reason that reasons that
10	are sufficient to you.
11	In deciding what to believe, including any child
12	witness, you may consider a number of factors:
13	One, the opportunity and ability of the witness to
14	see or hear or know the things about which the witness
15	testifies.
16	Two, the quality of the witness' knowledge,
17	understanding, and memory.

Three, the witness' appearance, behavior, and manner while testifying.

Four, whether the witness has an interest in the outcome of the case or any motive, bias, or prejudice.

Five, any relationship the witness may have with the party in the case and any effect the verdict may have on the witness.

Six, whether the witness said or wrote anything

before trial that was different from the witness' testimony in court.

Seven, whether the witness' testimony was consistent or inconsistent with the evidence that you believe.

And, eight, any other factor that bears on whether the witness should be believed.

After you have made your own judgment about the believability of a witness, you can then attach to the witness' testimony the importance or weight that you think it deserves.

Inconsistencies or discrepancies in a witness' testimony or between the testimony of different witnesses may or may not cause you to disbelieve a witness' testimony. Two or more persons witnessing an event may simply see or hear it differently. Mistaken recollection, like the failure to recall, is a common human experience. In weighing the effect of a discrepancy, always consider whether it pertains to a matter of importance or an unimportant detail. You should also consider whether the inconsistency was innocent or intentional.

If you find a witness has lied to you in any material portion of his or her testimony, you may disregard the witness' testimony in its entirety. I say that you may disregard such testimony, not that you must. However, you should consider whether the untrue part of the testimony was a

result of a mistake or inadvertence or was, rather, willful and stated with a design or intent to deceive.

Again, after making your own judgment, give the testimony of each witness the weight you think it deserves. You may, in short, accept or reject the testimony of any witness in whole or in part.

You are not required to accept any testimony, even though the testimony is not contradicted. You may decide because of a witness' bearing or demeanor, because of the inherent improbability of his or her testimony, or for other reasons sufficient to you that such testimony is not worthy of belief.

The weight of the evidence to prove a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testified or the quantity of evidence that was presented. In short, what is important is how believable the witnesses were and how much weight you think their testimony deserves.

Although the Government is required to prove the Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the Government is not required to present all possible evidence relating to the case or to produce all possible witnesses who might have knowledge about the facts of the case. In addition, as I have explained, the Defendant is not required to produce any evidence or produce any witnesses.

In this case the Defendant, Earl Warner, presented

evidence through various witnesses. Mr. Warner is not required to present all possible evidence relating to the case or to produce all possible witnesses who might have knowledge about some facts of the case.

The Rules of Evidence ordinarily do not permit a witness to testify as to their own opinions or their own conclusions about important questions in trial. An exception to this rule exists as to those witnesses who are described as expert witnesses. An expert witness is someone who by training, experience, or education may have become knowledgeable in some technical, scientific, or very specialized area. If such knowledge or experience may be of assistance to you in understanding some of the evidence or in determining a fact, an expert witness in that area may state an opinion as to a matter in which he or she claims to be an expert.

You should consider each expert opinion received in evidence in this case and give it such weight as you may think it deserves.

You should consider the testimony of an expert witness just as you consider other evidence in the case. You should decide -- if you decide that the opinion of the expert is not based upon sufficient education or experience or if you should conclude that the reasons given in support of the opinion are not sound or if you should conclude that the

opinion is outweighed by other evidence, you may disregard the opinion in part or in its entirety.

In weighing this opinion testimony, you may consider the witness' qualifications, their opinions, the reasons for testifying, as well as all the other considerations that ordinarily apply when you are deciding whether or not to believe a witness' testimony.

You may give the opinion testimony whatever weight, if any, you find it deserves in light of all the evidence in the case. You should not, however, accept opinion testimony merely because I allowed the witness to testify concerning his or her opinion. Nor should you substitute it for your own reason, judgment, and common sense. The determination of the facts in this case rests solely with you.

You have heard the testimony of law enforcement officers. The fact that a witness is employed as a law enforcement officer does not mean that his testimony necessarily deserves more or less consideration or greater or lesser weight than that of any other witness. You must decide after reviewing all the evidence whether you believe the testimony of a law enforcement witness and how much weight, if any, it deserves.

You have heard reputation and opinion evidence about whether the Defendant has a character trait for honesty -- truthfulness and honesty. You should consider this

character evidence together with and in the same way as all the other evidence in the case in deciding whether the Government has proved the charges beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now we are going to speak about the presumption of innocence, the burden of proof, and reasonable doubt.

The Defendant, Earl Warner, pled not guilty to the offenses charged. Mr. Warner is presumed to be innocent. He starts the trial with a clean slate, with no evidence against him.

The presumption of innocence stays with Mr. Warner unless and until the Government has presented evidence that overcomes that presumption by convincing you that Mr. Warner is guilty of an offense charged beyond a reasonable doubt.

The presumption of innocence requires that you find Mr. Warner not guilty unless you are satisfied that the Government has proved his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

The presumption of innocence means that Mr. Warner has no burden or obligation to present any evidence at all or to prove that he's not guilty. The burden or obligation of proof is on the Government to prove Mr. Warner is guilty, and that burden stays with the Government throughout the trial.

In order for you to find Mr. Warner guilty of the offense -- of an offense charged, the Government must convince you that Mr. Warner is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. That means the Government must prove each and every element of an

offense charged beyond a reasonable doubt. The Defendant may not be convicted based upon suspicion or conjecture, but only on evidence proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean proof beyond all possible doubt or to a mathematical certainty.

Possible doubts or doubts based upon conjecture, speculation, or hunch are not reasonable doubts. A reasonable doubt is a fair doubt based on reason, logic, common sense, or experience. It is a doubt that an ordinary person has, ordinary reasonable person has after carefully weighing all the evidence and is a doubt of the sort that would cause him or her to hesitate to act in matters of importance in his or her own life. It may arise from the evidence or from the lack of evidence or from the nature of the evidence.

If having heard all the evidence you are convinced the Government has proved each and every element of an offense charged beyond a reasonable doubt, you should return a verdict of guilty for that offense. However, if you have a reasonable doubt about one or more elements of an offense charged, then you may return a verdict of not guilty -- then you must return a verdict of not guilty of that offense.

Now I am going to speak about the indictment. As you know, the Defendant, Mr. Warner, is charged in a superseding indictment with violating federal law, specifically, six counts of production of material depicting

the sexual exploitation of a minor, and one count of possession of material depicting the sexual exploitation of a minor.

As I explained at the beginning of the trial, an indictment or in this case a superseding indictment is just the formal way of specifying the exact crimes the Defendant is accused of committing. A superseding indictment is simply a description of the charges against the Defendant. It is only an accusation. A superseding indictment is not evidence of anything and you should not give any weight to the fact that Mr. Warner has been indicted in your decision in this case.

You will note that the superseding indictment charges the Defendant was -- that the offense or offenses were committed on or about certain dates. The Government does not have to prove with certainty the exact dates of the alleged offense. It is sufficient for the Government to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense was committed on dates reasonably near the dates alleged.

The superseding indictment alleges that some of the acts in furtherance of the events charged occurred here in the Western District of Pennsylvania. There are no requirements that all aspects of the offense charged take place in the Western District of Pennsylvania. But for you to return a guilty verdict, the Government must convince you that some act in furtherance of the crime took place here in the Western

District of Pennsylvania.

Unlike all the elements I have described, venue only has to be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. This means that the Government only has to convince you that it is more likely than not that some act in furtherance of the crime charged took place here.

Remember, the Government must prove all of the other elements I have described beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now I am going to speak about the elements of the offenses charged. The Defendant is charged in the superseding indictment with committing two different offenses. Each of these offenses has essential elements. To find the Defendant guilty of an offense you must all find that the Government proved each element of that offense beyond a reasonable doubt. I will explain the elements of each offense in more detail shortly.

The counts in the superseding indictment charge the Defendant with doing certain acts in conjunction -- in the conjunctive by using the word "and." As used in the superseding indictment, the word "and" is synonymous with the word "or." Thus, to prove particular elements of a crime that are charged in the conjunctive, the Government need not prove that the Defendant did each of these acts. It is sufficient if the Government proves beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant did any of these alternative acts as charged.

1	Defendant is charged with two offenses. Each
2	offense is charged as a separate count in the superseding
3	indictment. The number of offenses charged is not evidence of
4	guilt and this should not influence your decision in any way.
5	You must separately consider the evidence that relates to each
6	offense and you must return a separate verdict for each
7	offense. For each offense charged you must decide whether the
8	Government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the
9	Defendant is guilty of that offense. Your decision on one
10	offense, whether guilty or not, must not influence your
11	decision on any of the other offenses charged. Each offense
12	must be considered separately.
13	Counts 1 through 6, production of materials
14	depicting sexually the sexual exploitation of a minor.
15	Defendant is charged in Counts 1 through 6 in the superseding
16	indictment with production of materials depicting the sexual

exploitation of a minor with respect to specific minors on the following days and times.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Count 1 charges the Defendant with production of child pornography on or about June 4, 2011, from at or about 6:34 a.m. to at or about 9:21 a.m. as to victim MC.

Count 2 charges Defendant with production of child pornography on or about June 8, 2011, from at or about 3:09 a.m. to at or about 3:53 a.m. as to victim FW.

Count 3 charges the Defendant with production of

child pornography on or about June 12, 2011, from at or about 1 2 9:24 a.m. to at or about 9:49 a.m. as to victim FW. Count 4 charges the Defendant with production of 3 4 child pornography on or about June 13, 2011, from at or about 5 5:40 a.m. to at or about 5:53 a.m. as to victim HE. 6 Count 5 charges the Defendant with production of child pornography on or about July 13th, 2011, as to FW. 7 Count 6 charges Defendant with production of child 8 pornography on or about July 23rd, 2011, as to FW. 9 10 Counts 1 through 6 of the superseding indictment 11 charging production of material depicting sexually explicit --12 sexual exploitation of a minor has three elements: 13 First, at the time of the offense the victim 14 depicted was under the age of 18. 15 Two, the Defendant employed, used, persuaded, or coerced the victim to take part in sexually explicit conduct 16 for the purpose of producing one or more visual depictions of 17 such conduct. 18 19 And, three, the visual depiction was produced using 20 material that had been mailed, shipped, or transported in 21 interstate or foreign commerce by any means. 22 Speaking now to Count 7, possession of material 23 depicting the sexual exploitation of a minor. Defendant is 24 charged in Count 7 of the superseding indictment with

possession of material depicting the sexual exploitation of a

25

minor on or about April 9, 2012.

Count 7 of the superseding indictment charging the Defendant with possession of material depicting the sexual exploitation of a minor has three elements:

First, that the Defendant knowingly possessed one or more items which contained a visual depiction of a minor engaged in a sexually explicit conduct.

Second, that the item which contained the visual depiction had been mailed, transported, or shipped in interstate commerce or had been produced using material that had been mailed or transported or shipped in interstate commerce.

And, three, the production of the visual depictions involved the use of a minor engaged in a sexually explicit conduct as these terms are defined in Title 18 United States Code Section 2256.

Although you have heard evidence regarding multiple images of material depicting the sexual exploitation of a minor, to find the Defendant guilty of producing or possessing material depicting the sexual exploitation of a minor, you must only find beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant produced and/or possessed at least one single image depicting the sexual exploitation of a minor on or about the date charged.

Further, you must be unanimous -- you must

unanimously agree as to which such visual image or images he produced and/or possessed.

We are now going to speak about some definitions. First of all, as to "knowing." The offense of producing and possessing material depicting the sexual exploitation of a minor charged in the superseding indictment requires that the Government prove that Earl Warner acted knowingly with respect to the first element of each of these offenses.

Often the state of mind or knowledge with which a person acts at any time cannot be proved directly because one cannot read another person's mind and tell what he or she is thinking. The Government, however, may prove Earl Warner's state of mind indirectly from the surrounding circumstances and the evidence presented.

Thus, to determine whether Earl Warner knew at a particular time -- thus, to determine what Earl Warner knew at a particular time, you must consider evidence about what Earl Warner said, what Earl Warner did or failed to do, how Earl Warner acted, and all the other facts and circumstances surrounding the evidence that may prove what was in Earl Warner's mind at the time. It is entirely up to you to decide what the evidence presented during this trial proves or fails to prove about Earl Warner's state of mind.

You may also consider the natural and probable result or consequences of any act Earl Warner knowingly did

and whether it is reasonable to conclude that Earl Warner intended those results or consequences. You may also -- you may find, but you are not required to find, that Earl Warner knew and intended the natural and probable consequences or results of the acts he knowingly did. This means that if you find that an ordinary person in Earl Warner's situation would have naturally realized certain consequences would result from his action, then you may find, but you are not required to find, that Earl Warner did know and did intend that those consequences would result from his action. This is entirely up to you to decide as the finders of fact in this case.

The Government is not required to prove that Earl Warner knew his acts were against the law.

Sexually explicit conduct includes the actual or simulated masturbation or lascivious exhibition of the genitals or pubic area of any person.

For a visual depiction of genitals or pubic area of a minor to be considered sexually explicit, the exhibition must be lascivious. Not every exposure of the genitals or pubic areas will necessarily be a lascivious exhibition.

Whether a picture or image of genitals or pubic area constitutes a lascivious exhibition requires the consideration of the overall context of the material.

In determining whether an exhibition of the genitals or pubic area of a minor is lascivious, you must

1 | consider the following:

- (A), whether the focal point of the visual depiction is on the minor's genitals or pubic area.
- (B), whether the setting of the visual depiction is sexually explicit -- sexually suggestive, that is, a place or pose generally associated with sexual activity.
- (C), whether the minor is depicted in an unnatural pose or in inappropriate attire considering the age of the minor.
- (D), whether the minor is fully or partially clothed or nude.
- (E), whether the visual depiction suggests coyness or a willingness to engage in sexual activity.

And, (F), whether the visual depiction is intended or designed to elicit a sexual response in the viewer.

As to this last factor, it is not necessary that the image be intended or designed to elicit a sexual response in the average viewer.

A picture or image need not involve all these factors to constitute lascivious exhibition of the genitals or pubic area. It is for you to decide what, if any, weight to be given to any of these factors. Ultimately you must determine whether the visual depiction is lascivious based upon the overall content.

Now I will give you the definition of "possession."

Count 7 of the superseding indictment charges the Defendant with possession of material depicting the sexual exploitation of a minor. The word "possess" means to own or to exert control over. The word "possession" can take on several different but related meanings.

The law recognizes two kinds of possession: Actual possession and constructive possession. A person who knowingly has direct physical control over a thing at a given time is then in actual possession of it. A person who, although not in actual possession, knowingly has both the power and intent at a given time to exercise dominion or control over a thing either directly or through another person or persons is then in constructive possession of it.

The law recognizes that possession may be sole or joint. If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of a thing when possession is — then possession is sole. If two or more persons actually — if two or more persons share actual or constructive possession of a thing, then the possession is joint.

You may find that the element of possession, as that term is used in these instructions, is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant has actual or constructive possession either alone or jointly with others.

As to the definition for "commerce." Commerce

means travel, trade traffic, commerce, transportation, or communication among or between the states, or the United States and a foreign country.

The term "in interstate commerce" means that the visual depictions or materials used to produce, transport, or transmit the sexual depictions crossed state lines or national borders.

The law does not require the Government to prove that the Defendant knew the interstate nature of an instrument on which a depiction of a child pornography is produced or stored.

Often the state of mind -- intent, knowledge, willfulness, or recklessness -- with which a person acts at any given time cannot be proved directly because, as I said previously, one cannot read another person's mind and tell what he or she is thinking. However, a Defendant's state of mind can be proved from the surrounding circumstances.

Thus, to determine the Defendant's state of mind or what the Defendant intended or knew at any particular time, you may consider all the evidence about what the Defendant said, what the Defendant did or failed to do, how the Defendant acted, and all the other facts and circumstances shown by the evidence that may prove what was in the Defendant's mind at the time.

It is entirely up to you to decide what the

evidence presented during the trial proves or fails to prove about the Defendant's state of mind.

As I said previously, you may also consider the nature and probable results or consequences of any acts the Defendant knowingly did and whether it is reasonable to conclude that Defendant intended those results or consequences.

You may find but you are not required to find that Defendant knew and intended the natural and probable consequences or results of the acts that he knowingly did. This means if you find that an ordinary person in the Defendant's situation would have naturally realized that certain consequences would result from his action, then you may find, but you are not required to find, that the Defendant did know and intend those consequences would result from his actions. This is entirely up to you to decide as the finders of fact.

Before I get to the process of jury instructions, which are the last few pages, did I misread anything in the jury instructions that needs corrected? On behalf of the Government?

MS. BLOCH: I don't believe so, Your Honor, thank you.

THE COURT: Did I misread anything in the jury instructions?

MR. CHONTOS: I don't believe so, Judge.

THE COURT: I am going to speak about jury deliberations and the process.

Your verdict must represent the considered judgment of each juror. In order to return a verdict, it's necessary that each juror agree. In other words, your verdict must be unanimous. It is your duty as jurors to consult with one another and to deliberate with a view to reaching an agreement if you can do so without violation of your individual judgments.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but only after an impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case with your fellow jurors.

In the course of your deliberations do not hesitate to reexamine your own views and change your opinion if you're convinced it is erroneous, but do not surrender your honest conviction as to the weight or effect of the evidence solely because of the opinion of your fellow jurors or for the mere purpose of returning a verdict.

Remember, at all times you are not partisans, you're judges, judges of the facts. Your sole interest is to seek the truth from the evidence in the case.

Upon retiring to the jury room you should first select one of your number to act as your foreperson who will preside over your deliberation and will be your spokesperson

1 | in court.

You can make the selection and conduct your deliberation in whatever manner you think is best, but I offer some suggestions that other jurors have found to be helpful to allow full participation by all jurors and to arrive at a verdict that satisfies everyone.

The foreperson should encourage open communication, cooperation, and participation by all the jurors and be willing and able to facilitate discussions when disagreements or disputes arise.

The foreperson should let each of you speak and be heard before expressing his or her views.

The foreperson should never attempt to promote or permit anyone else to promote his or her personal opinions by coercion or bullying.

The foreperson should make sure that the deliberations are not rushed.

Some people are better at facilitating than others.

And if it becomes clear that someone else would be a more effective foreperson, you may want to consider selecting a different person with no hard feelings.

You may also think it wise to select a secretary to record your votes, which probably should be cast by secret ballot, and to keep track of whether everyone has spoken.

Some jurors think it's useful to take a preliminary

vote before discussions are started. However, such an early vote often proves counterproductive for several reasons, including it tends to lock in a particular point of view before alternative points of view are covered. I really encourage you, talk first before you take a vote.

You should listen carefully and attentively to each other. Hear what each other is saying before responding.

Don't interrupt. Don't monopolize the discussion. Speak one at a time. Be patient and respectful of others' opinions and do not take it personally if somebody disagrees with you.

A verdict form has been prepared for you, and you have reviewed a copy. We will pick up those copies and you will take one original verdict form to the jury room. When you have reached a unanimous agreement as to the verdict form you will each sign it, have the foreperson date it, and you will signal the bailiff that you're prepared to return to the courtroom.

You will also be provided with the copies of these instructions for your use.

If during the deliberations you desire to communicate with the Court, please reduce your question, your message or question to writing, signed by the foreperson, and pass the note to the bailiff who will bring it to my attention.

After consulting with your attorney -- with the

attorneys, I will respond as promptly as possible either in writing or by having you return to the courtroom so I can address you orally. I will caution you, again, however, with regard to any message or question you may send, that you should not state or specify your numerical division at that time.

In addition, if you have a question, go back and read the instruction. By that I don't mean to say that we have anticipated any question one could have, but generally questions very early in a case get the response of, "go read the instructions again." So I just encourage you before you send a question you make sure there's not — the answer is not in those jury instructions. Again, I am not encouraging or discouraging questions, it's just that we have tried — take the time to go through the instructions again before you have a question.

In addition, it is proper for me to add again that nothing in these instructions and nothing in the verdict form prepared for your convenience is meant to hint in any way what your verdict should be. What the verdict is is your sole and exclusive duty and responsibility.

You will note from the oath about to be given to the bailiff that she as well as other persons are forbidden to communicate in any way or manner with any member of the jury on any subject touching on the merits of the case.

1 Any objection on behalf of the Government that was 2 not previously put on the record, if any? 3 MS. BLOCH: None, Your Honor, thank you. 4 THE COURT: Any objection to these instructions on 5 behalf of the Defendant, if any, that were not previously 6 mentioned in court filings or in open court? 7 MR. CHONTOS: Judge, I would just incorporate all 8 the ones that I have made previously. 9 THE COURT: All right. Alternates, you are not 10 permitted to participate in deliberations at this time because 11 it is a criminal case and we can only have 12 jurors. 12 your responsibility continues. It certainly has happened to 13 me that for whatever reason one of the 12 jurors cannot 14 continue to serve and an alternate is brought into the 15 deliberation. So it is very important that you continue, the 16 two alternates, not to talk about the case among yourselves. 17 The deputy clerk or someone will tell you where to 18 be if we need you. We will bring you up if and when there is 19 a verdict. And I always meet with jurors afterwards, and you 20 will be part of that if you wish. 21 So I appreciate the time that you served. 22 obviously need alternates in a case, but, again, please don't 23 talk even among the two of you about the case and we will bring you back up if and when there is a verdict and you can 24

be part of our final meeting if you so choose.

25

```
1
                Would you please swear the bailiff.
 2
             (Bailiffs duly sworn.)
                THE COURT: Anything else before the jury begins
 3
 4
     its deliberations? On behalf of the Government?
 5
                            Nothing, Your Honor, thank you.
                MS. BLOCH:
 6
                THE COURT: Defendant?
 7
                MR. CHONTOS: No, Judge.
                THE COURT: You may take the jury back to begin its
 8
 9
     deliberations.
10
             (Jury exits courtroom to begin deliberations.)
11
             (In open court; jury not present.)
12
                            I would appreciate it if counsel gives
                THE COURT:
13
     the deputy clerk your cell phone numbers, and I would ask
14
     counsel to stay in the building so we don't have to have
15
     people coming distances back here if there is a question
16
     and/or a verdict. So please stay in the building. As cold as
17
     it is outside, it's probably wise to do so anyway.
18
                Anything else on behalf of the Government?
19
                MS. BLOCH: No, Your Honor.
20
                THE COURT: Defendant?
21
                MR. CHONTOS: No, Judge.
22
                THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, would you work with the
23
     deputy clerk to make sure the correct exhibits go back to the
24
     jury room.
25
                MS. BLOCH: Certainly.
```

1	(Recess taken.)
2	(After recess; jury present in open court.)
3	THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen of the
4	jury, for being patient while we gathered everyone to be here.
5	We have informed we have been informed by the
6	jury that they had reached a verdict. We have gathered all
7	interested parties to convene in open court to receive the
8	verdict.
9	I would ask counsel for the Government to enter
10	your appearance, please.
11	MS. BLOCH: On behalf of the United States, Carolyn
12	Bloch.
13	THE COURT: On behalf of the Defendant?
14	MR. CHONTOS: Your Honor, David Chontos on behalf
15	of Earl Warner.
16	THE COURT: Welcome. The Defendant is present,
17	correct?
18	MR. CHONTOS: He is seated to my left.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	The jurors have entered the courtroom and have
21	assumed their seats in the jury box.
22	Who is the foreperson, please? Is the verdict form
23	completely filled out including all the boxes checked and
24	everyone having signed the document?
25	THE FOREPERSON: Yes, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: And dated? 2 Yes, Your Honor. THE FOREPERSON: 3 THE COURT: You have the verdict in your hand, is 4 that correct? 5 THE FOREPERSON: Yes, sir. 6 THE COURT: Do you want to hand it to the bailiff 7 who will hold it a moment. Was the jury unanimous? 8 THE FOREPERSON: Yes, sir. 9 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I 10 want to inform you that jurors who sit in particularly 11 disturbing cases, such as involving child pornography, death 12 penalty, gang violence have counseling available in cases 13 where the evidence or testimony is difficult to deal with. 14 That counseling is available through the Employee Assistance 15 Program, which is offered to all court employees and jurors. 16 I have decided that this service will be available 17 to you, I will sign the appropriate order, you will have a 18 year to take advantage of that service if you wish. We will 19 give you all a letter with all the information you need, and 20 the service provides for up to six free counseling sessions. 21 It would all be private, and you will be given a number in 22 which to request such service. As I mentioned, it is totally 23 private whether you request it or not and your participation 24 therein.

I would ask the foreperson -- the bailiff to bring

25

1 me the verdict so I may inspect it for regularity. 2 I find the verdict form to be properly completed, 3 including signatures of each juror. 4 The verdict will now be read publicly, that's 5 called being published, so it will be read aloud in open 6 court. Pay close attention to the verdict as it is published 7 and read, and afterwards you will be polled to make sure each 8 of you individually state whether or not the verdict as 9 published constitutes your verdict in every respect. 10 The deputy will publish the verdict at this time. 11 THE LAW CLERK: In the case of United States of 12 America versus Earl D. Warner, the verdict form reads as 13 follows: 14 We the jury in the above-captioned case have 15 unanimously made the following findings: 16 No. 1, as to Count 1, production of child 17 pornography involving child victim MC, the jury finds 18 Defendant, Earl D. Warner, quilty. 19 No. 2, as to Count 2, production of child 20 pornography involving child victim FW, the jury finds 21 Defendant, Earl D. Warner, guilty. 22 Count 3, as to Count 3, production of child 23 pornography involving child victim FW, the jury finds 24 Defendant, Earl D. Warner, quilty. 25

No. 4, as to Count 4, production of child

1	pornography involving child victim HE, the jury finds
2	Defendant, Earl D. Warner, guilty.
3	Five, as to Count 5, production of child
4	pornography involving child victim FW, the jury finds
5	Defendant, Earl D. Warner, guilty.
6	Six, as to Count 6, production of child pornography
7	involving child victim FW, the jury finds Defendant, Earl D.
8	Warner, guilty.
9	Seven, as to Count 7, possession of child
10	pornography, the jury finds Defendant, Earl D. Warner, guilty.
11	The verdict form is dated 24 January, 2014, and it
12	appears to have 12 signatures.
13	THE COURT: I would ask the deputy clerk to poll
14	the jury, please.
15	THE DEPUTY CLERK: As I call your number, please
16	stand and raise your right hand. After you have answered,
17	please be seated.
18	Juror No. 1, the verdict as was just read into the
19	record of the court, is this your verdict?
20	JUROR NO. 1: Yes, ma'am.
21	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 81, the verdict as was
22	just read into the record of this court, is this your verdict?
23	JUROR NO. 81: Yes, ma'am.
24	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 38, the verdict as was
25	just read into the record of this court, is this your verdict?

1	JUROR NO. 38. Yes, maram.
2	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 50, the verdict as was
3	just read into the record of the court, is this your verdict?
4	JUROR NO. 50: Yes, ma'am.
5	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 2, the verdict as was
6	just read into the record of the court, is this your verdict?
7	JUROR NO. 2: Yes, ma'am.
8	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 29, the verdict as was
9	just read into the record of the court, is this your verdict?
10	JUROR NO. 29: Yes, ma'am.
11	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 78, the verdict as was
12	just read into the record of the court, is this your verdict?
13	JUROR NO. 78: Yes, ma'am.
14	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 69, the verdict as was
15	just read into the record of the court, is this your verdict?
16	JUROR NO. 69: Yes.
17	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 3, the verdict as was
18	just read into the record of the court, is this your verdict?
19	JUROR NO. 3: It is.
20	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 51, the verdict as was
21	just read into the record of the court, is this your verdict?
22	JUROR NO. 51: Yes.
23	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 33, the verdict as was
24	just read into the record of the court, is this your verdict?
25	JUROR NO. 33: Yes.

1 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 15, the verdict as was 2 just read into the record of the court, is this your verdict? 3 JUROR NO. 15: Yes. 4 THE DEPUTY CLERK: That concludes the polling of 5 the jury, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: The polling of the jury verifies the 7 unanimous verdict. I direct the deputy clerk to file and 8 record the verdict. 9 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, before I 10 discharge you, I want to tell you that I have entered an order 11 which will permit you, if you wish, to obtain the counseling 12 sessions that I mentioned. As I said, that was entered before 13 your discharge. 14 On behalf of the court, I want to thank you very 15 much for working through this case and for your diligence. 16 You paid attention throughout, you have taken notes, and so I 17 thank you for your effort in that regard. 18 I want to thank the alternates. Even though they 19 didn't participate, they are an important part of this 20 process. 21 If you wish, I will come back and visit with you in 22 the jury room for awhile. Those of you who want to leave 23 certainly may leave, but I will come back and spend some time 24 with you.

We have a letter for each of you setting forth

25

```
information relating to the counseling services that I
 1
 2
     mentioned.
 3
                Anything from Government counsel before I discharge
 4
     the jury?
 5
                MS. BLOCH: Nothing from the Government.
                THE COURT: On behalf of the Defendant?
 6
 7
                MR. CHONTOS: No, Judge.
 8
                THE COURT: With that, ladies and gentlemen of the
 9
     jury, you are now discharged. You can go back to the jury
10
     room and I will be back to see you in a moment.
11
             (Jury exits courtroom.)
12
             (In open court; jury not present.)
13
                THE COURT: I have set the sentencing for
     June 6<sup>th</sup>, 2014. Any objection to that date on behalf of the
14
15
     Government?
16
                MS. BLOCH: No objection, Your Honor.
17
                THE COURT: I will give defense counsel a moment to
18
     check his electronic calendar.
19
                MR. CHONTOS: Thanks, Judge.
                THE COURT: June 6<sup>th</sup> at 9:30.
20
21
                MR. CHONTOS: We are good to go.
22
                THE COURT: Okay. I would appreciate it if
23
     everyone would remain seated and the marshals may remove the
24
     Defendant.
25
             (Record closed.)
```

C E R T I F I C A T EI, Richard T. Ford, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-titled matter. S/Richard T. Ford